UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/554,036 | 10/20/2005 | Yevgeni Besidski | 101023-1P US | 7802 |
| 22466 7590 06/24/2009 ASTRA ZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP GLOBAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 1800 CONCORD PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19850-5437 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1626 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/24/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| All Participants: Status of Application: 41 (1) Laura L. Stockton. (2) Karen H. Kondrad (Reg. No. 38,212). (4) | Fyaminer-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/554,036 | BESIDSKI ET AL. | | | | |
| All Participants: (1) Laura L. Stockton. (3) | Lxammer-imuated interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| (2) Keren H. Kondrad (Reg. No. 38.212). (4) | | Laura L. Stockton | 1626 | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 17 June 2009 Time: 12:27pm Type of Interview: Tollephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: No No Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative was unavailable. Therefore, the instant application is being abandoned. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>41</u> | | | | | |
| Type of Interview: Telephonic | (1) <u>Laura L. Stockton</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding Office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, June 17, 2009 @12:27pm and Thursday, June 18, 2009 @4.48pm. A return leelphone call to the Examiner on to been received. The Examiner and Monday, June 22, 2009 @10:36am but Applicant's representative was unavailable. Therefore, the instant application is being abandoned. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directive with Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | (2) Karen H. Kondrad {Reg. No. 38,212}. | (4) | | | | | |
| Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No | Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2009</u> | Time: <u>12:27pm</u> | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filled to the outstanding Office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, June 17, 2009 @12:27pm and Thursday, June 18, 2009 @4.48pm. A return telephone call to the Examiner had not been received. The Examiner again called on Monday, June 22, 2009 @10:36am but Applicant's representative was unavailable. Therefore, the instant application is being abandoned. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filled to the outstanding Office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, June 17, 2009 @12:27pm and Thursday, June 18, 2009 @4:48pm. A return telephone call to the Examiner had not been received. The Examiner again called on Monday, June 22, 2009 @10:56am but Applicant's representative was unavailable. Therefore, the instant application is being abandoned. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | Part I. | | | | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding Office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, June 17, 2009 @12:27pm and Thursday, June 18, 2009 @4:48pm. A return telephone call to the Examiner had not been received. The Examiner again called on Monday, June 22, 2009 @10:56am but Applicant's representative was unavailable. Therefore, the instant application is being abandoned. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding Office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, June 17, 2009 @12:27pm and Thursday, June 18, 2009 @4:48pm. A return telephone call to the Examiner had not been received. The Examiner again called on Monday, June 22, 2009 @10:56am but Applicant's representative was unavailable. Therefore, the instant application is being abandoned. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | | | |
| The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding Office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, June 17, 2009 @12:27pm and Thursday, June 18, 2009 @4:48pm. A return telephone call to the Examiner had not been received. The Examiner again called on Monday, June 22, 2009 @10:56am but Applicant's representative was unavailable. Therefore, the instant application is being abandoned. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | Part II. | | | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. //Laura L. Stockton/ | The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding Office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, June 17, 2009 @12:27pm and Thursday, June 18, 2009 @4:48pm. A return telephone call to the Examiner had not been received. The Examiner again called on Monday, | | | | | | |
| directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Laura L. Stockton/ | Part III. | | | | | | |
| | of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | | | | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | /Laura L. Stockton/ | | | | | | |
| | (A _j | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appropriate) | | | | |